

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O Box, 140 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/695,518	10/24/2000	Christian Volf Olgaard	68139769-200300	3116
23418	7590 01/04/2006	EXAM	EXAMINER	
VEDDER PRICE KAUFMAN & KAMMHOLZ			MANIWANG, JOSEPH R	
	222 N. LASALLE STREET CHICAGO, IL 60601		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•		2144		
			DATE MAILED: 01/04/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/695,518	OLGAARD ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Joseph R. Maniwang	2144			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
Period for Reply		·			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING					
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep	ly be timely filed			
If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state	od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH	IS from the mailing date of this communication.			
Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma					
Status					
	/ O-4-1 2005				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17</u> 2a) This action is FINAL! 2b) TI					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow	his action is non-final.	n proposition so to the morito is			
closed in accordance with the practice unde					
	LA parte dadyte, 1000 C.D.	3			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-14 and 16-20</u> is/are pending i					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	₹.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Ž.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-14 and 16-20</u> is/are rejected.	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	//				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	i/or election requirement.				
Application Papers	1				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	- 1985년 - 198 - 1985년 - 1985			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	·	the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	an nriarity under 35 H.S.C. & 1	19(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gii phonty under 35 0.5.6. § 1	19(a)-(u) or (t).			
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		olication No			
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not re	ceived.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sun	nmary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)					
3) M Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/12/05.	8) 5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	imai ratent Application (F1 0-132)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	4.1.4				
PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No /Mail Date 20051219			

Art Unit: 2144

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. Claims 1-6, 8-14, and 17, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kobayashi (U.S. Pat. No. 6,633,759).
- submitting information about an interface client along a first wireless communication path to a user-driven wireless device in proximity of the interface client (see column 9, lines 40-45; column 13, lines 7-17); receiving content along a second wireless communication path from a remote source and conveying the content via the wireless device to the interface client along the first wireless communication path (see column 5, lines 3-14; column 13, line 62 through column 14, line 6; column 2, lines 37-39; column 4, lines 30-31); and displaying the content on a display of the interface client (see column 2, lines 39-40; column 4, line 32; column 13, lines 14-17; column 14, lines 9-11). Kobayashi disclosed a system comprising an interface client adapted for submitting information about the interface client (see column 4, line 21) along a first wireless communication path (see column 4, lines 24-25) to a wireless device (see column 4; line 23) in proximity of the interface client, the interface client having a displaying adapted for displaying content received by the interface client along the first wireless

Application/Control Number: 09/695,518

Art Unit: 2144

communication path (see column 4, lines 30-32); and the wireless device, adapted for receiving along the first wireless communication path the interface client information (see column 9, lines 40-49), receiving the content along a second wireless communication path from a remote source (see column 4, lines 39-47) and conveying the content to the interface client along the first wireless communication path (see column 4, lines 30-32), wherein one of the interface client, the wireless device and the remote source is adapted to format the content based on the submitted information from the interface client (see column 10, line 65 through column 11, line 3).

- Regarding claims 2 and 10, Kobayashi disclosed receiving a signal from the wireless device when the wireless device is in proximity of the interface client prior to submitting the information about the interface client to the wireless device (see column 9, lines 34-36; column 12, lines 8-10, 54-60).
- Regarding claims 3 and 11, Kobayashi disclosed the signal from the wireless device transmitted from the wireless device in response to a prior signal transmitted from the interface client (see column 2, lines 35-37; column 9, lines 27-28; column 12, lines 1-2, 52-53).
- Regarding claims 4 and 12, Kobayashi disclosed the signal from the wireless device including information identifying a user of the wireless device (see column 9, lines 34-36).
- 7. Regarding claims 5 and 13, Kobayashi disclosed the information about the interface client including information about the capabilities of the interface client (see

Art Unit: 2144

column 9, lines 40-45) and information about an input device of the interface client (see column 12, lines 25-27; column 13, lines 7-17).

- 8. Regarding claims 6, 14, and 19, Kobayashi disclosed the remote source as a server (see column 4, lines 44-47).
- 9. Regarding claims 8 and 20, Kobayashi disclosed the content formatted based on the submitted information about the interface client (see column 12, lines 22-32).

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments filed 10/17/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- non-statutory subject matter, Examiner acknowledges Applicant's amendments to the claims in overcoming the rejections. The rejections have been withdrawn.
- Regarding claims 1-6, 8-14, 17, and 19-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kobayashi (U.S. Pat. No. 6,633,759), Applicant traverses the rejections as follows.
- Specifically, regarding claims 1-6 and 8, Applicant asserts that Kobayashi does not teach a user-driven device as required by claim 1. However, Examiner submits that Kobayashi reads upon the limitation of a user-driven device as claimed. While Applicant defines user-driven as residing at the user and under the user's direct and physical control, Éxaminer submits that the term "user-driven" as presented in the claims has a broader recitation. It is noted that the features upon which applicant relies

Application/Control Number: 09/695,518

Art Unit: 2144

(i.e., a wireless device residing at the user and under the user's direct and physical control) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). As such, Kobayashi clearly teaches a user-driven wireless device, as it was disclosed that a cellular phone accepted manipulation requests as a user-inputted command (see column 13, lines 7-17, 31-39, 50-56) and was thus user-driven as claimed.

- Regarding claims 9-14, Applicant further asserts that Kobayashi does not teach or suggest formatting content for display based on the submitted information from the interface client. Examiner submits that such a feature was taught by Kobayashi, as it was disclosed that transmitted data could be changed according to the size of a display screen (see column 10, line 65 through column 11, line 3). Applicant also asserts that Kobayashi does not disclose submitting information to a wireless device where at least some of the submitted information is used to format content for display. Examiner submits that the broad concept of using submitted information for formatting content is clearly taught by Kobayashi, as the content formatting disclosed by Kobayashi is performed based upon information submitted by a PC (see column 10, line 65 through column 11, line 10).
- Regarding claims 17 and 19-20, Applicant further asserts that Kobayashi does not disclose submitting information about the interface client to the wireless device, including capabilities, display capabilities, input device information, or location of the interface client. Examiner submits that Kobayashi taught such a feature, as it was

Art Unit: 2144

disclosed that a PC could transmit information to a cellular phone describing its various software capabilities available to the cellular phone (see column 8, lines 47-55; column 9, lines 40-53).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph R. Maniwang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3928. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Wiley can be reached on (571) 272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

.IM

DAVID WILEY
SORY PATENT EXAMINE

SUPERVISORY PATENT ER 2100

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:		
☐ BLACK BORDERS		
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES		
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING		
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING		
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES		
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS		
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS		
LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT		
REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY		

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.